

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
 REGION III
 1650 Arch Street
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
US POSTAL SERVICE)	Docket Number: RCRA-03-2013-0171
1810 Reddy Drive)	
Woodbridge, VA 22191)	
)	
RESPONDENT,)	
)	
USPS - Prince William Branch)	
3360 Post Office Road)	
Woodbridge, VA 22192)	Proceeding Under Section 9006
)	9007(a) of the Resource Conservation and
FACILITY.)	Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C.
)	§ 6991e and f.

2013 SEP 19 PM 3: 10
 REGIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK
 EPA REGION III PHILA. PA

RECEIVED

CONSENT AGREEMENT

This Consent Agreement (“CA”) is entered into by the Director, Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III (“EPA” or “Complainant”) and the US Postal Service (“Respondent”), pursuant to Sections 9006 and 9007 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e and f, and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits (“Consolidated Rules”), 40 C.F.R. Part 22, including, specifically 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and .18(b)(2) and (3).

This CA and the Final Order (collectively “CAFO”), resolve violations of RCRA Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, and the Commonwealth of Virginia’s federally authorized underground storage tank program by Respondent in connection with its underground storage tanks located at its Prince William Branch, 3360 Post Office Road, Woodbridge, Virginia 22192 (the “Facility”).

Effective October 28, 1998, pursuant to Section 9004 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991c, and 40 C.F.R. Part 281, Subpart A, the Commonwealth of Virginia was granted final authorization to administer a state UST management program *in lieu* of the Federal UST management program established under Subtitle I of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m. The provisions of the Commonwealth of Virginia’s UST management program, through this final authorization, are enforceable by EPA pursuant to Section 9006 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e. The Commonwealth of Virginia’s authorized UST program regulations are set forth in the Virginia Administrative Code as Underground Storage Tanks: Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements (“VA UST Regulations”), 9 VAC § 25-580-10 *et seq.*, Virginia’s authorized UST program regulations are set forth in the Virginia Administrative Code as Underground Storage

Tanks: Technical Standards and Corrective Action Requirements (“VA UST Regulations”), 9
VAC § 25-580-10 *et seq.*

GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. For purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth in this CAFO.
2. Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations and conclusions of law set forth in this CAFO, except as provided in Paragraph 1, above.
3. Respondent agrees not to contest EPA’s jurisdiction with respect to the execution of this CA, the issuance of the attached FO, or the enforcement of the CAFO.
4. For the purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent hereby expressly waives its right to a hearing on any issue of law or fact set forth in this CA and any right to appeal the accompanying FO. In addition, Respondent waives its right to confer with the Administrator pursuant to RCRA § 6001(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 69661(b)(2).
5. Respondent consents to the issuance of this CAFO and agrees to comply with its terms and conditions.
6. Respondent shall bear its own costs and attorney’s fees.
7. The person signing this CA on behalf of the Respondent certifies to EPA by his/her signature herein that Respondent, as of the date of this CA, is in compliance with the provisions of RCRA, Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, and the Commonwealth of Virginia’s federally authorized underground storage tank program set forth at Chapter 580 of Title 9 of the VAC at the Facility referenced herein.
8. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding upon Respondent, and its officers, directors, employees, successors and assigns.
9. This CAFO shall not relieve Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state or local law, nor shall it be construed to be a ruling on, or determination of, any issue related to any federal, state or local permit, nor does this CAFO constitute a waiver, suspension or modification of the requirements of RCRA Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, or any regulations promulgated thereunder.
10. Complainant shall have the right to institute further actions to recover appropriate relief if Complainant obtains evidence that the information provided and/or representations made by Respondent to EPA regarding matters at issue in this CAFO are false or, in any material respect, inaccurate. Respondent is aware that the submission of false or

misleading information to the United States government may subject Respondent to separate civil and/or criminal liability.

11. EPA has given the Commonwealth of Virginia prior notice of the issuance of this CAFO in accordance with Section 9006(a)(2) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(a)(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

12. The United States Environmental Protection Agency - Region III ("EPA" or the "Region") and EPA's Office of Administrative Law Judges have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Section 9006 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e, 40 C.F.R. Part 280 and 40 C.F.R. § 22.1(a)(4) and .4(c).

13. Respondent is a "person" as defined in Section 9001(5) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(5), and 9 VAC § 25-580-10.

14. At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent has been the "owner" and/or "operator," as those terms are defined in Section 9001(3) and (4) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(3) and (4), and 9 VAC § 25-580-10, of the "underground storage tanks" ("USTs") and "UST systems" as those terms are defined in Section 9001(10) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(10), and 9 VAC § 25-580-10 located at the Facility.

15. On May 24, 2012, an EPA representative conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection ("CEI") at the Facility pursuant to Section 9005 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991d.

16. At the time of the May 24, 2012 CEI, and at all times relevant to the applicable violations alleged herein, a ten thousand (10,000) gallon double walled fiberglass reinforced plastic tank that was installed in or about February 1993 and that, at all times relevant hereto, routinely contained gasoline, a "regulated substance" as that term is defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and 9 VAC § 25-580-10 (hereinafter "UST No. 1") was located at the Facility.

17. At all times relevant to the applicable violations alleged herein, UST No. 1 has been a "petroleum UST system" and "new tank system" as those terms are defined in 9 VAC § 25-580-10.

18. UST No. 1 was, at all times relevant to the applicable violations alleged in this CAFO, used to store a "regulated substance" at Respondent's Facility, as defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and 9 VAC § 25-580-10, and has not been "empty" as that term is defined at 9 VAC § 25-580-310.1.

COUNT 1
(Failure to perform release detection)

19. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 18 of the CA are incorporated herein by reference.
20. Pursuant to 9 VAC § 25-580-130.A. and C., owners and operators of new and existing UST systems must provide a method or combination of methods of release detection monitoring that meets the requirements described therein.
21. 9 VAC § 25-580-140.1. provides, in pertinent part, that USTs shall be monitored at least every 30 days for releases using one of the methods listed in 9 VAC § 25-580-160.4.-8., except that:
- (a) UST systems that meet the performance standards in subsections 1 through 5 of 9 VAC § 25-580-50 (Performance Standards for New UST Systems) or subsections 1 through 4 of 9 VAC § 25-580-60 (Upgrading of Existing UST Systems), and the monthly inventory control requirements in subsections 1 or 2 of 9 VAC § 25-580-160 (Inventory Control or Manual Tank Gauging), and tank tightness testing, conducted in accordance with subsection 3 of 9 VAC § 25-580-160 (Tank Tightness Test), at least every 5 years until December 22, 1998, or until 10 years after the UST is installed or upgraded under subsection 2 of 9 VAC § 25-580-60 (Tank Upgrading Requirements); and
 - (b) UST systems that do not meet the performance standards in 9 VAC § 25-580-50 (Performance Standards for New UST Systems) or 9 VAC § 25-580-60 (Upgrading of Existing UST Systems), may use monthly inventory controls, conducted in accordance with subsections 1 or 2 of 9 VAC § 25-580-160 (Inventory Control or Manual Tank Gauging) and annual tank tightness testing, conducted in accordance with subsection 3 of 9 VAC § 25-580-160 (Tank Tightness Test) until December 22, 1998, when the tank must be upgraded under 9 VAC § 25-580-60 (Tank Upgrading Requirements) or permanently closed under 9 VAC § 25-580-320; and
 - (c) Tanks with a capacity of 550 gallons or less and not metered may use weekly tank gauging, conducted in accordance with subsection 2 of 9 VAC § 25-580-160.
22. From November 29, 2008 to December 13, 2008; November 27, 2009 to December 6, 2009; June 10, 2010 to July 21, 2010; and October 20, 2010 to November 28, 2010 Respondent's UST No. 1 at the Facility has not been monitored in compliance with any of the methods set forth in 9 VAC § 25-580-140.1.a.-c. and/or 9 VAC § 25-580-160.4.-8.

23. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged above, constitute violations by Respondent of 9 VAC § 25-580-130.A. and C. and 9 VAC § 25-580-140.1.

COUNT # 2

(Failure to perform automatic line leak detector testing annually)

24. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 23 of the CA are incorporated herein by reference.

25. Pursuant to 9 VAC § 25-580-130.A. and C., owners and operators of new and existing UST systems must provide a method or combination of methods of release detection monitoring that meets the requirements described therein.

26. 9 VAC § 25-580-140.2.a. provides, in pertinent part, that underground piping that conveys regulated substances under pressure shall:

“(1) Be equipped with an automatic line leak detector conducted in accordance with subdivision 1 of 9 VAC § 25-580-170;
....”

27. 9 VAC § 25-580-170.1. provides, in pertinent part, that an annual test of the operation of the leak detector shall be conducted in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements.

28. Respondent conducted testing of the automatic line leak detectors for the piping associated with UST No. 1 on September 25, 2012.

29. From July 15, 2008 to September 25, 2012, the piping for UST No. 1 was underground and routinely conveyed regulated substances under pressure.

30. Respondent failed to perform an annual test of the automatic line leak detectors for the underground piping for UST No. 1 from September 22, 2010 to April 14, 2011 and April 14, 2012 to October 2, 2012..

31. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged in Paragraph 30, above, constitute violations by Respondent of 9 VAC § 25-580-140.2.a. and 9 VAC § 25-580-170.1.

COUNT #3

(Failure to perform line tightness testing or monthly monitoring)

32. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 31 of the Complaint are incorporated herein by reference.

33. 9 VAC § 25-580-140.2.a. provides, in pertinent part, that underground piping that conveys regulated substances under pressure shall:

“; and

- (2) Have an annual line tightness test conducted in accordance with subdivision 2 of 9 VAC § 25-580-170. or have monthly monitoring conducted in accordance with subdivision 3 of 9 VAC § 25-580-170.”

34. Respondent conducted monthly monitoring of the piping associated with UST No. 1 on: December 14, 2008; January 18, 2009; February 23, 2009; March 30, 2009; April 29, 2009; May 26, 2009; June 29, 2009; July 27, 2009; August 25, 2009; September 28, 2009; October 27, 2009; January 26, 2010; February 25, 2010; March 30, 2010; April 23, 2010; May 25, 2010; June 25, 2010; July 22, 2010; August 6, 2010; December 23, 2010; February 16, 2011; March 21, 2011; April 12, 2011; August 25, 2011; September 26, 2011; June 4, 2012; July 1, 2012; August 5, 2012; and October 15, 2012.

35. From November 29, 2008 to October 14, 2012, the piping for UST No. 1 was underground and routinely conveyed regulated substances under pressure.

36. Respondent failed to monitor the underground piping associated with UST No. 1 in compliance with either of the methods set forth in 9 VAC § 25-580-140.2.a.(2) from September 22, 2010 to December 22, 2010; January 23, 2011 to February 15, 2011; April 14, 2012 to June 3, 2012; and September 5, 2012 to October 2, 2012.

37. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged in Paragraph 36, above, constitute violations by Respondent of 9 VAC § 25-580-140.2.a.(2).

COUNT #4

(Failure to report to the implementing agency a suspected release)

38. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 37 of the CA are incorporated herein by reference.

39. 9 VAC § 25-580-190.3. provides that owners and operators of UST systems must report to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (“VADEQ”) within 24 hours and follow the procedures in 9 VAC § 25-580-210 if the monitoring results from a release detection method required under VAC § 25-580-140 indicate a release may have occurred, unless the monitoring device is found to be defective, and is immediately repaired, recalibrated, or replaced, and additional monitoring does not confirm the initial result.

40. On August 25, 2011 and again on September 26, 2011, when the Liquid Status Report showed a "L2: Piping Sump Fuel Alarm" indicating that a release may have occurred from UST No. 1, the Respondent did not initiate and complete an investigation of the suspected release in accordance with the procedures set forth in 9 VAC § 25-580-210 within 7 days after the indication of a release from the monitoring device occurred for UST No. 1.
41. On October 27, 2009, when the Leak Test Report showed a "Leak Test Results 0.20 gal/hr test fail" indicating that a release may have occurred from UST No. 1, the Respondent did not initiate and complete an investigation of the suspected release in accordance with the procedures set forth in 9 VAC § 25-580-210 within 7 days after the indication of a release from the monitoring device occurred for UST No. 1.
42. Respondent failed to report to VADEQ within 24 hours the suspected releases described in Paragraphs 40-41 and Respondent did not find the monitoring devices in issue to be defective nor did Respondent immediately repair, recalibrate or replace any such defective device and thereafter conduct additional monitoring which did not confirm the initial monitoring result from a release detection method required under 9 VAC § 25-580-140.
43. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged in Paragraph 42, above, constitute a violation by Respondent of 9 VAC § 25-580-190.3.

COUNT #5

(Failure to investigate a suspected release)

44. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the CA are incorporated herein by reference.
45. 9 VAC § 25-580-210 provides, in pertinent part, that owners and operators must immediately investigate and confirm all suspected releases of regulated substances requiring reporting under 9 VAC § 25-580-190.3. within 7 days or another reasonable time period specified by VADEQ, unless corrective action is initiated by the owner and/or operator in accordance with 9 VAC § 25-580-300.
46. The incidents described in Paragraphs 40-41, above, were suspected releases which required the owner and/or operator to initiate and complete an investigation under 9 VAC § 25-580-210.1 and 2.
47. Respondent failed to initiate and complete an investigation of the suspected releases of regulated substances from UST No. 1 within the time and manner prescribed by 9 VAC § 25-580-210.

48. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged in Paragraphs 40-41, above, constitute violations by Respondent of 9 VAC § 25-580-210.

CIVIL PENALTY

49. In settlement of Complainant's claims for civil penalties for the violations alleged in this CA, Respondent agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixty-Nine Dollars (\$16,469.00). The civil penalty amount is due and payable immediately upon Respondent's receipt of a true and correct copy of this CA and attached FO.

50. Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717 and 40 C.F.R. § 13.11, EPA is entitled to assess interest, administrative costs and late payment penalties on outstanding debts owed to the United States and a charge to cover the costs of processing and handling a delinquent claim, as more fully described below.

51. The aforesaid settlement amount was based upon Complainant's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the statutory factors of the seriousness of Respondent's violations and any good faith efforts by Respondent to comply with all applicable requirements as provided in RCRA Section 9006(c), 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(c), and with EPA's Penalty Guidance for Violations of UST Regulations ("UST Guidance") dated November 4, 1990.

52. Respondent shall pay the amount described in Paragraph 49 above, by sending a certified or cashier's check payable as follows:

- a. All payments by Respondent shall reference Respondent's name and address, and the Docket Number of this action, *i.e.*, **RCRA-03-2013-0171**;
- b. All checks shall be made payable to "**United States Treasury**";
- c. All payments made by check and sent by regular mail shall be addressed and mailed to:

U.S. EPA - Fines and Penalties
Cincinnati Finance Center
P.O. Box 979077
St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

Contact: Eric Volck, 513-487-2105 or
Craig Steffen 513-487-2091

- d. All payments made by check and sent by overnight delivery service shall be addressed and mailed to:

U.S. EPA - Fines and Penalties
U.S. Bank
Government Lockbox 979077
1005 Convention Plaza
Mail Station SL-MO-C2-GL
St. Louis, MO 63101

Contact: (314) 418-1028

- e. All payments made by check in any currency drawn on banks with no USA branches shall be addressed for delivery to:

Cincinnati Finance
US EPA, MS-NWD
26 W. M.L. King Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45268-0001

53. Respondent may also pay the amount described in Paragraph 49 above, electronically or on-line as follows:

- a. All payments made by electronic wire transfer shall be directed to:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York
ABA = 021030004
Account = 68010727
SWIFT Address = FRNYUS33
33 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10045
(Field Tag 4200 of the wire transfer message should read:
"D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency")

- b. All electronic payments made through the automated clearinghouse (ACH), also known as Remittance Express (REX), shall be directed to:

US Treasury REX / Cashlink ACH Receiver
ABA = 051036706
Environmental Protection Agency, Account No. 310006
CTX Format Transaction Code 22 - checking

Physical location of U.S. Treasury facility:
5700 Rivertech Court
Riverdale, MD 20737

Contact: Jessie White 301-887-6548 or
REX 1-866-234-5681

c. On-Line Payment Option:

WWW.PAY.GOV/PAYGOV

Enter sfo 1.1 in the search field. Open and complete the form.

d. Additional payment guidance is available at:

http://www.epa.gov/ocfo/finservices/make_a_payment.htm

A copy of Respondent's check or a copy of Respondent's electronic transfer shall be sent simultaneously to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (3RC00)
EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 - 2029, and

Donzetta Thomas (3RC50)
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029.

FULL AND FINAL SATISFACTION

54. This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of its claims for civil penalties pursuant to 9006(a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(a), for the violations alleged in this Consent Agreement.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

55. EPA reserves the right to commence action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, public welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice.

56. Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under RCRA, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this CAFO, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

57. Failure to obtain adequate funds or appropriations from Congress does not release Respondent from its obligation to comply with RCRA, the applicable regulations thereunder, or with this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO shall be interpreted to require obligation or payment of funds in violation of the Antideficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341.

OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

58. Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of any duties otherwise imposed on it by applicable federal, state or local law and/or regulations.

AUTHORITY TO BIND THE PARTIES

59. The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CA and bind Respondent hereto.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT

60. This CA and the attached FO constitute the entire Agreement and understanding of the parties concerning settlement of the above-captioned action and there are no representations, warranties, covenants, terms or conditions agreed upon between the parties other than those expressed in this CA and the attached FO.

EFFECTIVE DATE

61. This CA and attached FO shall become effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

For Respondent:


Date:

Kevin McAdams
District Manager
United States Postal Service
900 E. Fayette Street, Room 309
Baltimore, MD 21233-9998


For Complainant:


Date:

Donzetta Thomas
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
US EPA, Region III
1650 Arch Street (3RC50)
Philadelphia, PA 19103

After reviewing the foregoing Consent Agreement and other pertinent information, the Land and Chemicals Division, EPA Region III, recommends that the Regional Administrator or the Regional Judicial Officer issue the Final Order attached hereto.

By:



John A. Armstead, Director
Land and Chemicals Division,
U.S. EPA, Region III

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION III

1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

In the Matter of:

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

1810 Reddy Drive

Woodbridge, VA 22191

RESPONDENT,

USPS - Prince William Branch

3360 Post Office Road

Woodbridge, VA 22192

FACILITY.

)
)
) Docket Number: RCRA-03-2013-0171

)
) Proceeding Under Section 9006 and 9007
) of the Resource Conservation and
) Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C.
) § 6991e and f.
)
)

FINAL ORDER

Complainant, the Director of the Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III, and Respondent, the United States Postal Service, have executed a document entitled "Consent Agreement" which I hereby ratify as a Consent Agreement in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22. The terms of the foregoing Consent Agreement are accepted by the undersigned and incorporated herein as if set forth at length.

NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO Section 22.18(b)(3) of the *Consolidated Rules of Practice* and Section 9006(c) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C.


§ 6991e(c)("RCRA"), and having determined, based on the representations of the parties in the attached Consent Agreement, that the civil penalty of Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixty-

Nine Dollars (\$16,469.00) agreed to therein was based upon a consideration of the factors set forth in Section 9006(c) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(c), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Respondent pay a civil penalty of Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixty-Nine Dollars (\$16,469.00) in accordance with the payment provisions set forth in the attached Consent Agreement and comply with each of the additional terms and conditions as specified in the attached Consent Agreement.

The effective date of this Final Order and the accompanying Consent Agreement is the date on which the CAFO is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk of U.S. EPA - Region III.

Date:

9/19/13



Renee Sarajian
Regional Judicial Officer
U.S. EPA, Region III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that, on 9/19/13, the original and one true and correct copy of the foregoing consent agreement and final order [EPA Docket No: RCRA-03-2013-0171] was hand-delivered to and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk (3RC00), U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and that true and correct copies of the same and its enclosures were sent via United Parcel Service, signature confirmation requested upon the following:

Matthew Raeburn
Environmental Counsel
United States Postal Service
475 L'Engant Plaza SE Room 6333
Washington, DC 20260-1101

and


Kevin McAdams
District Manager
United States Postal Service
900 E. Fayette Street, Room 309
Baltimore, MD 21233-9998

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
EPA REGION III, PHILA. PA

2013 SEP 19 PM 3: 10

RECEIVED

9/19/13
Date



Donzetta W. Thomas (3RC50)
Counsel for Complainant
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III
(215) 814-2474

